

Signatures of Planck-scale interactions in the cosmic microwave background?

Friedemann Queisser, Michael Uhlmann, and Ralf Schützhold

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

Based on a rather general low-energy effective action (interacting quantum fields in classical curved space-times), we calculate potential signatures of new physics (such as quantum gravity) at ultra-high energies (presumably the Planck scale) in the anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background. These Planck-scale interactions create non-Gaussian contributions, where special emphasis is laid on the three-point function as the most promising observable, which also allows the discrimination between models violating and those obeying Lorentz invariance.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 04.62.+v, 98.70.Vc, 98.80.Qc.

Introduction In our present standard model of cosmology (see, e.g., [1]), the anisotropies observed in the cosmic microwave background (e.g., by the recent WMAP [2] and the future PLANCK [3] mission) originate from quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field, which were amplified and stretched by the cosmic expansion during inflation (a very early epoch in the evolution of our universe). The expansion of our universe acts as a cosmic microscope, i.e., these observed modes can be traced back to extremely short length scales and thus ultra-high energies (such as the Planck energy $M_{\text{Pl}} \approx 10^{19}$ GeV) which are completely inaccessible with present or future terrestrial experiments (e.g., particle accelerators). This observation entices the question of whether one might observe signatures of new interactions (such as quantum gravity) beyond the standard model of particle physics in the anisotropies.

Several different approaches for such expected deviations from our known laws of physics at highest energies have been investigated already. One possibility to change the physics at small distances is a modification of the dispersion relation (of the inflaton field) implying a breakdown of the Lorentz invariance (which would then be just a low-energy symmetry), see, e.g., [4]. It was also shown that an explicit short-distance cutoff has a potentially measurable effect on the spectrum [5]. Possible back-reaction effects resulting from UV-modifications have been considered in [6] and a possible change of the propagator for the inflaton while preserving the local Lorentz invariance has been studied in [7]. Several authors have investigated different effects that result from a modification of the commutation relations at high energies such as $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}(1 + \eta p^2)$, see, e.g., [8]. Finally, the impact of different choices of the initial vacuum state have been considered in [9], for example.

These references [9] already illustrate the importance of considering the correct initial state. (One could obtain basically arbitrary results by changing the initial state correspondingly.) Furthermore, the aforementioned investigations were mainly devoted to *linear* fields, whereas one would expect new physics such as quantum gravity to impose *nonlinear* corrections, i.e., interactions. There-

fore, we shall consider a rather general nonlinear low-energy effective action for the inflaton field (interacting quantum fields in classical curved space-times) and calculate the corrections due to new physics at ultra-high energies in the anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background. For simplicity, we assume that the Planck scale is the characteristic scale for new physics from now on (but our results can easily be generalized).

The Model During slow-roll inflation, the space-time can be approximated by the de Sitter metric ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 - e^{2\mathfrak{H}\tau} d\mathbf{r}^2 = a^2(t)[dt^2 - d\mathbf{r}^2], \quad (1)$$

with the proper time τ and the conformal time t , respectively, and the Hubble constant $\mathfrak{H}$ governing the dynamics of the scale parameter $a(t)$. Assuming locality and covariance (non-covariant terms will be discussed later), the most general low-energy effective action for a scalar field can be represented in a gradient expansion and reads

$$\mathcal{L} = A(\varphi) + (\partial\varphi)^2 B(\varphi) + (\partial\varphi)^4 C(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}[(\partial\varphi)^6], \quad (2)$$

with $(\partial\varphi)^2 = (\partial_\mu\varphi)(\partial^\mu\varphi)$ and arbitrary coefficient functions $A(\varphi)$, $B(\varphi)$, and $C(\varphi)$. Assuming stability $B(\varphi) > 0$ and adopting the usual field re-definition $d\phi = \sqrt{2B(\varphi)} d\varphi$, we get [10]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} [(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) + (\partial\phi)^4 W(\phi)] + \mathcal{O}[(\partial\phi)^6]. \quad (3)$$

The first two terms correspond to the usual scalar field theory whereas the third contribution of this low-energy effective action is a remnant of new physics (e.g., the interaction with massive gravitons) at ultra-high energies. Identifying the scalar field ϕ with the inflaton field, we assume the potential for chaotic inflation $V(\phi) = m^2\phi^2$, but our main results can easily be generalized to other potentials (see discussion below). Now we split the inflaton field ϕ into a classical background field ϕ_0 plus its quantum fluctuations $\phi(t, \mathbf{r}) = \phi_0(t) + \Phi(t, \mathbf{r})$. In the usual slow-roll approximation $m \ll \mathfrak{H}$, the slowly decaying classical background solution reads $\phi_0(\tau) \propto \exp(-m^2\tau/3\mathfrak{H})$, and the Lagrangian for the fluctuations is given by

$$L = \int d^3r \left\{ \frac{a^2}{2} [\dot{\Phi}^2 - (\nabla\Phi)^2] - ag [\dot{\Phi}^3 - \dot{\Phi}(\nabla\Phi)^2] \right\} + \mathcal{O}[(\partial\phi)^6] + \mathcal{O}[\Phi^4] + \mathcal{O}[g^2], \quad (4)$$

with $g = 2W(\phi_0)\partial\phi_0/\partial\tau \approx -2\phi_0 W(\phi_0)m^2/(3\mathfrak{H})$ denoting a roughly constant effective coupling strength. Note that the interaction term $(\partial\phi)^4$ in the Lagrangian (3) also implies small corrections such as $\dot{\Phi}^2$ and thus a small redefinition of the propagation speed c_{eff} , the mass m , and the amplitude of the inflaton field Φ etc. As usual during inflation, the mass term $m^2\Phi^2$ can be neglected for the fluctuations Φ due to $m \ll \mathfrak{H}$ (terms like $\lambda\Phi^3$ will be discussed below). Since we are mainly interested in the three-point function, we omitted the higher-order terms $\mathcal{O}[\Phi^4]$, but the associated four-point contribution can be calculated via the same formalism (see discussion below). For the sake of brevity, we omit stating the higher-order corrections $\mathcal{O}[(\partial\phi)^6] + \mathcal{O}[\Phi^4] + \mathcal{O}[g^2]$ from now on.

Hamiltonian After a Legendre transformation, we obtain the low-energy effective Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Pi^2}{a^2} + a^2(\nabla\Phi)^2 \right] + g \left[\frac{\Pi^3}{a^5} - \frac{\Pi}{a}(\nabla\Phi)^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

The explicit time-dependence of this Hamiltonian can partly be removed via the (time-dependent) canonical transformation $\Pi \rightarrow a\Pi$ and $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi/a$ generated by the squeezing operator S , giving rise to an additional term to the Hamiltonian: In the squeezed representation with the Schrödinger equation $i d(S|\Psi\rangle)/dt = H_S(S|\Psi\rangle)$, the Hamilton density reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_S &= \frac{1}{2} [\Pi^2 + (\nabla\Phi)^2] - \frac{a\mathfrak{H}}{2} [\Phi\Pi + \Pi\Phi] \\ &+ \frac{g}{a^2} [\Pi^3 - \Pi(\nabla\Phi)^2] = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathcal{H}_g. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This form allows for an intuitive physical interpretation: Due to the cosmic expansion $a = e^{\mathfrak{H}\tau}$, the modes are being continuously stretched and hence the effects of the interactions $\mathcal{H}_g \propto 1/a^2$ decrease with time whereas the influence of the expansion $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{H}} \propto a$ increases.

Initial state Since the interactions are strong at early times, the derivation of the correct initial state requires the treatment of strongly interacting quantum fields in curved space-times, which is a nontrivial task, cf. [11]. Let us consider the evolution of the modes in more detail: Due to spatial homogeneity, the co-moving wavenumber $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to a spatial dependence of $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ remains constant – but the physical wavenumber $\mathbf{k}/a$ decreases (stretching). Hence modes with different k leave the Planck scale at different times, i.e., when $k/a = \mathcal{O}[M_{\text{Pl}}]$. Assuming that the rate of the cosmic expansion given by the Hubble parameter $\mathfrak{H}$ is much slower than the internal evolution rate of these Planckian modes (scale separation $\mathfrak{H} \ll M_{\text{Pl}}$), the most natural initial state is the adiabatic vacuum state, cf. [11]. During the further expansion, the wavelength increases until $k/a \ll M_{\text{Pl}}$ holds and the low-energy effective action (3) becomes valid [12]. In view of the adiabatic theorem, the modes evolve adiabatically and hence stay in the adiabatic vacuum as long as the external time-dependence due to the

cosmic expansion is slow compared to the internal dynamics. If we now choose an intermediate time t_0 such that $\mathfrak{H} \ll k/a(t_0) \ll M_{\text{Pl}}$, the effects of both, the cosmic expansion $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and the interaction $\mathcal{H}_g$ are small and can be treated as perturbations [12]. As a result, the quantum state (adiabatic vacuum) of these modes at that time t_0 can be derived via the adiabatic expansion [13]

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{in}(t_0)\rangle &= N_0 |\Psi_0\rangle - i \sum_{n>0} |\Psi_n\rangle \left(\frac{\langle\Psi_n|\dot{H}_S|\Psi_0\rangle}{\Delta E_n^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{\Delta E_n} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\langle\Psi_n|\dot{H}_S|\Psi_0\rangle}{\Delta E_n^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{H}^3], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $|\Psi_n\rangle$ are the instantaneous eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian (6) with appropriate phases and $N_0 = 1 + \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{H}^2]$ is a normalization. For $g = 0$, the Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_{\mathfrak{H}}$ can be diagonalized exactly (i.e., to all orders in $\mathfrak{H}$) via a Bogoliubov transformation $c_{\mathbf{k}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}} + \beta_{\mathbf{k}} b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$. Up to second order in $\mathfrak{H}$ (the accuracy we are interested in), the Bogoliubov coefficients $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{k}}$ read $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = 1 + ia\mathfrak{H}/2k$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = ia\mathfrak{H}/2k - a^2\mathfrak{H}^2/4k^2$. The remaining corrections can be calculated with stationary perturbation theory

$$|\Psi_n\rangle = |\Psi_n^0\rangle + \sum_{m \neq n} |\Psi_m^0\rangle \frac{\langle\Psi_m^0|H_g|\Psi_n^0\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} + \mathcal{O}[g^2], \quad (8)$$

where $(H_0 + H_{\mathfrak{H}})|\Psi_n^0\rangle = E_n^0|\Psi_n^0\rangle$ are the unperturbed eigenstates obtained via the aforementioned Bogoliubov transformation.

Final state The impact of the cosmic expansion $H_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on the modes increases with time and their evolution finally becomes non-adiabatic when $k/a = \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{H}]$, i.e., when they cross the horizon and freeze. Therefore, in order to calculate the final state, we switch from the Schrödinger representation (thereby undoing the canonical transformation S etc.) to the interaction picture: The Heisenberg operators carry full dynamics for the unperturbed problem $H_0 + H_{\mathfrak{H}}$ to all orders in $\mathfrak{H}$ (cf. [1])

$$\Phi = \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2k(2\pi)^3}} \left(\frac{1}{a} + i\frac{\mathfrak{H}}{k} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - ik/(\mathfrak{H}a)} a_{\mathbf{k}} + \text{H.c.} \quad (9)$$

Note that $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ annihilates the adiabatic vacuum (7) for vanishing g , which can be used as a consistency check. The remaining small interaction Hamiltonian H_g acts on the quantum state, which enables us to calculate the final state via time-dependent perturbation theory

$$|\text{out}(t)\rangle = \left(1 - i \int_{t_0}^t dt' H_g(t') \right) |\text{in}(t_0)\rangle + \mathcal{O}[g^2]. \quad (10)$$

As another test of the consistency of the used formalism, one may check that the resulting final state $|\text{out}(t)\rangle$ is

indeed independent of t_0 as it should be. Since we are mainly interested on modes which freeze well before the end of inflation, we may approximately extend the integration to infinite proper time $\tau = \infty$ which corresponds to vanishing conformal time $t = 0$.

Three-point function With the final state obtained after performing the integration, one can calculate the three-point function of the frozen fluctuations and obtains (after some algebra) the following spectrum

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\mathbf{r}_1)\Phi(\mathbf{r}_2)\Phi(\mathbf{r}_3) \rangle = & -g\mathfrak{H}^5 \int \frac{d^3k_1 d^3k_2 d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^6} \exp\{i(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{r}_3)\} \frac{\delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1 k_2 k_3} \times \\ & \times \left(\frac{3}{k_+^3} - \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3}{k_+^3} + \frac{1}{k_+} \frac{\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3}{2k_2 k_3} + \frac{\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3}{2k_+^2} \left(\frac{1}{k_2} + \frac{1}{k_3} \right) + \text{perm.} \right\} \right) (1 + \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{H}] + \mathcal{O}[g]), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with the abbreviations $k_+ = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ and $\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{k}_j/k_j$. The remaining permutations (denoted by “perm.”, i.e., $k_1 \rightarrow k_2$, $k_2 \rightarrow k_3$, and $k_3 \rightarrow k_1$ etc.) have to be included as well. The spectrum is scale invariant as one would expect since the sequence: leaving of Planck scale and stretching $\rightarrow$ horizon crossing and freezing occurs on all scales (just at different times). The first contribution $3/k_+^3$ on the second line results from the Π^3 -term whereas the remaining ones appear because of the $\Pi(\nabla\Phi)^2$ -term in the interaction Hamiltonian H_g .

An estimate of the relative size of this non-Gaussian contribution can be obtained by comparison to the (Gaussian) two-point function

$$\frac{\langle \Phi\Phi \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Phi\Phi \rangle^3}} = \mathcal{O}[g\mathfrak{H}^2] = \mathcal{O}[\phi_0 W(\phi_0) m^2 \mathfrak{H}] \ll 1. \quad (12)$$

Note that m can be eliminated with the classical equations of motion and the Friedman equation, i.e., $g\mathfrak{H}^2 = \mathcal{O}[\phi_0 W(\phi_0) m^2 \mathfrak{H}] = \mathcal{O}[W(\phi_0) \mathfrak{H}^3 M_{\text{Pl}}^2 / \phi_0]$. Usually the typical scale of new physics such as quantum gravity is expected to be the Planck scale. Therefore, one would naturally assume that the coupling behaves according to $W \sim 1/M_{\text{Pl}}^4$ if W did not depend on ϕ_0 . In this case, the relative size of the effect would be suppressed with $\mathfrak{H}^3/M_{\text{Pl}}^3$ and thus probably hard to measure.

However, one should also bear in mind that the usual picture of inflation involves a large value of the inflaton field $\phi_0 \gg M_{\text{Pl}}$ and hence $W(\phi_0)$ could be much bigger than $1/M_{\text{Pl}}^4$. Similarly, the scale for new physics might be below the Planck scale or the inflaton potential $V(\phi)$ could differ from $m^2\phi^2$. In all these cases, the effect could be far stronger than $\mathfrak{H}^3/M_{\text{Pl}}^3$. On the other hand, the employed formalism only works if $g\mathfrak{H}^2 \ll 1$ holds, i.e., if the relative size of the effect (12) is small compared to one (which is consistent with the observations [2]).

Generalizations Let us discuss the impact of momentum-independent interaction terms such as $\lambda\Phi^3$ [in contrast to contributions like $(\partial\Phi)^4$] which occur if the potential V is not just given by the mass term $V(\phi) \neq m^2\phi^2$. In contrast to H_g , these momentum-

independent interaction terms become important at late times. Hence the three-point spectrum generated by the $\lambda\Phi^3$ -term can be derived from time-dependent perturbation theory and behaves as $k_+/(k_1 k_2 k_3)^3$. This spectrum is dominated by large length scales and thus not scale invariant – which should make it easy to distinguish between the effects of the momentum-independent interaction $\lambda\Phi^3$ and those generated by high-energy (Planckian) interactions such as the spectrum (11).

The derivation of the four-point function can be accomplished by means of the same formalism – but necessitates higher orders in the adiabatic expansion etc. The relative size of the non-Gaussian contribution (compared to the Gaussian part of the four-point function, for example) can be estimated to $\mathcal{O}[W(\phi_0)\mathfrak{H}^4]$. Hence the comparison of the relative sizes of the non-Gaussian contributions of the three-point and the four-point functions, respectively, yields the unknown ratio $\mathfrak{H}\phi_0/M_{\text{Pl}}^2$.

Lorentz invariance So far, we assumed that the Planckian interactions respect the local Lorentz invariance and hence started from a covariant action (3). However, it may well be that the usual local Lorentz invariance is just a low-energy symmetry and broken at the Planck scale. In this case, many more terms can occur in the low-energy effective action – even if we still demand spatial homogeneity and isotropy according to the cosmological principle: First of all, bilinear terms such as $\ddot{\Phi}^2$ and $(\nabla^2\Phi)^2$ exactly correspond to a change in the dispersion relation mentioned in the introduction. Assuming adiabaticity (which may not be valid for all dispersion relations), we may treat those corrections with the presented formalism and it turns out that they basically generate a global shift of the two-point spectrum to lowest order, which is probably hard to measure.

The next terms occurring in an expansion into powers of Φ and the numbers of derivatives are exactly the terms Π^3 and $\Pi(\nabla\Phi)^2$ discussed before [14]. However, without Lorentz invariance, the pre-factors of these two terms are no longer necessarily equal. Therefore, measuring and comparing the relative strength of the two contributions

in the above three-point spectrum (11) provides a possibility to detect violations of the Lorentz invariance at the Planck scale.

Summary Assuming locality and covariance, we derived the most general low-energy effective action of the scalar inflaton field (including possible remnants from Planckian interactions) via a gradient expansion. Based on this ansatz, a formalism for the treatment of this (strongly) interacting quantum field theory in a curved space-time and the derivation of the quantum state via the adiabaticity assumption was presented. This enabled us to predict the induced spectrum of the three-point function – which is quite robust against uncertainties in cosmic evolutions and can clearly be distinguished from other effects such as a $\lambda\Phi^3$ -term – making it a promising observable. This concrete prediction of the explicit spectrum facilitates a refined search in the present/future WMAP and PLANCK data with good statistics and hence high sensitivity. Abandoning covariance, it might even be possible to detect violations of the Lorentz invariance at the Planck scale.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Emmy-Noether Programme of the German Research Foundation (DFG) under grant No. SCHU 1557/1-1,2. Further support by the COSLAB programme of the ESF and the Pacific Institute of Theoretical Physics is also gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood Academic, Chur, Switzerland, 1990); A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2000); P. J. E. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology* (Princeton, 1993).
 - [2] <http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov>
 - [3] <http://planck.esa.int>
 - [4] S. Shankaranarayanan, Musongela Lubo, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 123513 (2005); Jérôme Martin, Sergio E. Jorás, and Robert H. Brandenberger, ibid. **66**, 083514 (2002); Jérôme Martin, Robert H. Brandenberger, ibid. **63**, 123501 (2001); ibid. **65**, 103514 (2002); R. H. Brandenberger and J. Martin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17**,

- 3663 (2002); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16**, 999 (2001); Jens C. Niemeyer, Renaud Parentani, ibid. **64**, 101301 (2001).
- [5] Jens C. Niemeyer, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 123502 (2001).
- [6] Jérôme Martin, Robert H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023504 (2005).
- [7] S. Shankaranarayanan, L. Sriramkumar, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123520 (2004).
- [8] Amjad Ashoorioon, Achim Kempf, Robert B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023503 (2005); Stephon Alexander, Robert Brandenberger, João Magueijo, ibid. **67**, 081301 (2003); Richard Easther, Brian R. Greene, William H. Kinney, Gary Shiu, ibid. **64**, 103502 (2001); Achim Kempf, Jens C. Niemeyer, ibid. **64**, 103501 (2001); Achim Kempf, ibid. **63**, 083514 (2001).
- [9] Seoktae Koh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 1223 (2004); Jérôme Martin, Robert H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 063513 (2003); Kevin Goldstein, David Lowe, ibid. **67**, 063502 (2003); Jens C. Niemeyer, Renaud Parentani, David Campo, ibid. **66**, 083510 (2002); Ulf H. Danielsson, ibid. **66**, 023511 (2002).
- [10] To first order (in W or g), which is the accuracy we are interested in, one can eliminate terms containing $\Box\phi$ (which would complicate the Hamiltonian analysis) after an integration by parts as well as using the equation of motion for ϕ . The same applies to contributions of the type $\phi^2(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi)(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu\phi)$ etc.
- [11] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England 1982).
- [12] Here we assume $g = \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{M_{\text{Pl}}})$, but it would be sufficient to demand $g\mathfrak{H}^2 \ll 1$ and to replace M_{Pl} by $1/\sqrt{g}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{H} \ll k/a(t_0) \ll 1/\sqrt{g}$.
- [13] This expansion can be derived via the decomposition $|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) \exp\{-i \int dt' E_n(t')\} |\Psi_n(t)\rangle$ of the quantum state $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ into the instantaneous eigenstates $|\Psi_n(t)\rangle$ and insertion of this ansatz into the Schrödinger equation $i d|\Psi(t)\rangle/dt = H(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle$. After an integration by parts, the coefficients $a_n(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the matrix elements $\langle\Psi_n|\dot{H}|\Psi_m\rangle$ divided by the energy gap squared $(E_n - E_m)^2$. For adiabatic evolution, this ratio must be small which facilitates an expansion into powers of $\mathfrak{H}$.
- [14] In case of multiple inflaton fields, many more combinations are possible – in both cases: with and without Lorentz invariance. Furthermore, we assume that the scale factors $a(t)$ occur with the same power as in the Lorentz invariant situation – which is not strictly necessary without demanding covariance.